



Daniel F. Caruso  
Chairman

# STATE OF CONNECTICUT

## CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: [siting.council@ct.gov](mailto:siting.council@ct.gov)

Internet: [ct.gov/csc](http://ct.gov/csc)

May 29, 2007

Lucia Chiocchio, Esq.  
Cuddy & Feder, LLP  
445 Hamilton Avenue, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
White Plains, NY 10601

RE: **DOCKET NO. 333** – National Grid Wireless, Inc. application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance and operation of a telecommunications facility located at 17 Cottage Road, Madison, Connecticut.

Dear Ms. Chiocchio:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than June 13, 2007. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office and a .pdf file on a compact disc. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Yours very truly,

S. Derek Phelps  
Executive Director

c: Council Members  
Parties and Intervenors  
Ronald C. Clark, CONNsult Wireless Services, LLC



Affirmative Action / Equal Opportunity Employer

**PRE-HEARING INTERROGATORIES**  
**DOCKET NO. 333 – MADISON**  
**NATIONAL GRID WIRELESS, INC. (NGW)**  
**MAY 23, 2007**

1. Provide the Affidavit of Publication for the published legal notices that were published in The Source and The Shoreline Times.
2. Did NGW receive return receipts for all adjacent landowners listed behind Tab 10 of the application? If not, was any additional effort made to make sure that notice was received?
3. List any property owners for which a return receipt was not received.
4. What is the area contained within the search ring shown behind Tab 4 of the application?
5. Is the Griswold Airport currently active? If not, what is the closes active airport to the proposed site?
6. Would antennas mountings be spaced at ten-foot intervals on the proposed tower? If so, why would antennas be mounted with a centerline at the 130-foot level (with T-Mobile antennas mounted with a centerline at the 117-foot level)?
7. There is some inconsistency between the text of the application and the site drawing of the proposed monopole, would T-Mobile antennas be mounted at the 117-foot level or the 127-foot level?
8. Would blasting be required for the development of the proposed site?
9. Would NGW design a yield point into the proposed tower to allow the tower setback radius to remain on the host property?
10. What is the land use of the Strathmore Farms Development Company property to the north and the property to the west owned by Robert & Allyce Dowler?
11. How many residences are within a 1,000 foot radius of the proposed site?
12. What is the distance and the direction of the nearest residence to the proposed site? Who is the owner of that residence?
13. Has NGW received a determination by the State Historic Preservation Officer regarding the impact of the proposed facility?
14. What is the number of trees, six inches or greater in diameter, that would have to be removed for the construction of the proposed site and access road?
15. Describe the vegetation at the proposed site.
16. What is the average height of vegetation in the area of the proposed site?
17. What is the distance and direction of the nearest wetland from the proposed site?

18. What is the distance of the proposed site to the nearest boundary of Hammonasset State Park?
19. Is NGW considering the construction of a "brown stick" at the proposed site, as included in two photosimulations?
20. What is the distance and direction of each site listed in the Existing Tower List behind Tab 4 of the application?
21. Would NGW be willing to access the proposed site via the existing driveway on the property with a new drive to the compound?
22. The Airspace Obstruction Report behind Tab 5 of the application states that "a structure up to 130 feet AGL should receive a routine approval." Does NGW expect that an extension to 150 feet agl in the future, as requested, would require obstruction marking and/or lighting?
23. What would be the diameter at the base and the top of the proposed monopole?